

# #662

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, April 08, 2021 5:55:53 AM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, April 08, 2021 6:32:34 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:36:41

---

Page 2: About Agencies Scheduled for Study

## Q1

Please share any comments, suggestions or concerns you may have about these agencies, including any observations about the way the agency shares information online. Please note your responses will be posted online and may be included in a Committee report.

Attorney General's Office

**S.C. Attorney General Office has failed to provide all the people of this state with Justice when they are violated especially black or african american people. The SC Attorney General Office has assumed the position that black people does not have any rights and are worthless. The office has become more of a political football seeking the advance the agenda of those having desires to benefit from profits and future political aspirations. A Request was made to SC Attorney General Office to enforce a Federal JUDGMENT AND MANDATE by the U.S. Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals for REMAND to Richland County Court of Common Pleas because Judge G. Thomas Cooper and Casey L. Manning refused to comply therefore a violation of the judicial process. And this agency has failed to prosecute state agencies for federal and state discrimination violations committed against Clarence Jenkins by SCDEW applying an illegal bar stating applicant "Barred From Applying" from July 19, 2013 to December 30, 2018 that has been submitted to his office for an investigation. All illegally and conspired to declared that "Barred from Applying" was a system error when it is not. I have received written communications from NEOGOV the software provider stating and confirming that "Barred From Applying" is a feature of the product and not a system error. SC Attorney General Office has allowed criminal activities within the state of South Carolina to go without prosecution because a black man or african american does not have any rights in this state.**

SC Election Commission

SC Ethics Commission and SC Ethics Commission Board will will not apply the S.C. Code Laws when it benefits their friends and associates. They have allowed to SCWCC Commissioners to violated laws by filing fraudulent financial disclosure forms regarding salaries earned from the State of South Carolina. SC Ethics Commission Board in its recently dismissal of complaint filed against SCWCC Commissioners, Scott T. Beck, Avery Wilkerson, Michael Campbell and others stated that it was legal to submit fraudulent financial disclosures to SC Ethics Commissions. SC Ethics has refused to hold SCWCC Commissioners accountable when there are conflict of interests when a decision is made in worker's injury cases to benefits friends and political associates upon verification of having a relationship through personal and working on other committees. The greatest violation came when SC Ethics Commission Board allowed SCWCC Commission Avery Wilkerson to update his fraudulent financial disclosures forms after a complaint was filed by Clarence Jenkins which was dismissed a complaint filed by Clarence Jenkins. Sc Ethics Commission is the best example of corruption which can not hold anyone accountable because it will turn a blind eye to friends and political associates involved in criminal activities. I am requesting that committee review all complaints filed with SC Ethics Commission regarding SCWCC Commissioners to verify government corruption. A corrupt agency that needs to be investigated for criminal activities.

---

Page 3: There are three questions seeking general information.

**Q2** 45-54 years old

What is your age?

---

**Q3** Former State employee

Which best describes your current role?

---

**Q4** Orangeburg

In which county do you live?

---

**Q5** Orangeburg

In which county do you live?

---